

Title	On complementary spaces of the Lizorkin spaces(Potential Theory and its Related Fields)
Author(s)	KUROKAWA, Takahide
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2007), 1553: 107-115
Issue Date	2007-05
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/80931
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

On complementary spaces of the Lizorkin spaces

鹿児島大学理学部 黒川隆英
Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University
Takahide KUROKAWA

§1. Introduction

Let R^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$, we let

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$ is defined to be the class of all C^∞ -functions φ on R^n such that

$$p_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in R^n} |x^\alpha D^\beta \varphi(x)| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices α and β . We introduce two kinds of the Lizorkin spaces $\Phi_1(R^n)$ and $\Phi_2(R^n)$. The Lizorkin space $\Phi_1(R^n)$ of the first kind is defined to be the class of all functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ which satisfy

$$\int_{R^n} \varphi(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$$

for any multi-index α . The Lizorkin space $\Phi_2(R^n)$ of the second kind is defined to be the class of all functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ which satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) x_j^\ell dx_j = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly $\Phi_1(R^n) \supset \Phi_2(R^n)$. An example of a function belonging to $\Phi_1(R^n)$ (resp. $\Phi_2(R^n)$) is $\mathcal{F}(e^{-|y|^2 - (1/|y|^2)})(x)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}(e^{-|y|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n 1/y_j^2})(x)$) where $\mathcal{F}\varphi$ is the Fourier transform of φ :

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(x) = \int_{R^n} e^{-ixy} dy.$$

The Lizorkin spaces appeared in the theory of fractional derivatives, hypersingular integrals and Riesz potentials ([Sa2] and [SKM]). The properties of the Lizorkin spaces have studied by several authors. The denseness of the Lizorkin spaces in the Lebesgue spaces was proved in O.I.Lizorkin [Li2] and S.G.Samko [Sa1]. Moreover P.I.Lizorkin [Li3] showed that the space $\Phi_1(R^n)$ is dense in the Sobolev spaces and T.Kurokawa [Ku] deals with the denseness of the space $\Phi_1(R^n)$ in the spaces of Beppo Levi type. The invariance of the space $\Phi_1(R^n)$ relative to Riesz potentials was noted by V.I.Semyanistyi [Se], P.I.Lizorkin [Li3] and S.Helgason [He]. T.Kurokawa [Ku] establish the invariance of the space $\Phi_1(R^n)$ relative to more general operators. In this note we are concerned with complementary spaces of $\Phi_1(R^n)$ and $\Phi_2(R^n)$ in $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$. For a subspace $V \subset \mathcal{S}(R^n)$, if a subspace $W \subset \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{S}(R^n) = V \oplus W,$$

then we call W a complementary space of V in $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$ where the symbol \oplus indicates a direct sum. In section 2 as a preparation we introduce dual functions of polynomials and tensor product functions, and study their properties. In section 3 we sketch our plan to give complementary spaces of $\Phi_1(R^n)$ and $\Phi_2(R^n)$ in $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$.

§2. Dual functions of polynomials and tensor product functions

Let $h \in C^\infty(R^1)$ be a function which satisfies the conditions $0 \leq h(t) \leq 1$, $h(-t) = h(t)$ and

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |t| \leq 1/2 \\ 0, & \text{for } |t| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We fix the function $h(t)$. We denote by \mathcal{A} the set of sequences $a = \{a_j\}_{j=0,1,\dots}$ which satisfy $0 < a_j \leq 1$ and $a_j \geq a_{j+1}$. For $a =$

$\{a_j\}_{j=0,1,\dots} \in \mathcal{A}$ we put

$$\eta_j^a(t) = \frac{t^j}{j!} h\left(\frac{t}{a_j}\right), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\theta_j^a(t) = \frac{i^j}{2\pi} \mathcal{F} \eta_j^a(t), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $\theta_j^a \in \mathcal{S}(R^1)$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta_j^a(t) t^k dt = \begin{cases} 1, & k = j \\ 0, & k \neq j, \end{cases} \quad k, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $\{\theta_j^a\}_{j=0,1,\dots}$ satisfy (2.1), we call $\{\theta_j^a\}_{j=0,1,\dots}$ dual functions of polynomials associated with a sequence $a \in \mathcal{A}$. For $1 \leq p \leq n$ we denote by M_p the set of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which have p elements. For $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$ we always assume that $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$. For multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$ the notation $(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c)$ stands for

$$(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c) = (\alpha_{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_{k_{n-p}})$$

where $\{k_1, \dots, k_{n-p}\} = \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$. Similarly, for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we denote

$$(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) = (x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_{n-p}}).$$

Moreover we denote

$$(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c)(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c) = x_{k_1}^{\alpha_{k_1}} \dots x_{k_{n-p}}^{\alpha_{k_{n-p}}},$$

$$(\{D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_p}\}^c)(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c) = D_{k_1}^{\alpha_{k_1}} \dots D_{k_{n-p}}^{\alpha_{k_{n-p}}}.$$

Let α, β be multi-indices and $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$. For a function $\varphi(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \in \mathcal{S}(R^{n-p})$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c, \{\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_p}\}^c)(\varphi) \\ &= \sup_{(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \in R^{n-p}} |(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c)(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c) \\ & \quad (\{D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_p}\}^c)(\{\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_p}\}^c) \varphi(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c)|. \end{aligned}$$

For $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$ we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a$ the set of p -multiple sequences of functions $\{\varphi_{s_1 \dots s_p}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c)\}_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0,1,\dots} \subset \mathcal{S}(R^{n-p})$ which satisfy

$$\sum_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0}^{\infty} p(\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}^c, \{\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_p}\}^c) (\varphi_{s_1 \dots s_p}) a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_p} < \infty$$

for all multi-indices α and β . We note that the sequence $\{\varphi_{s_1 \dots s_n}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}^c)\}_{s_1, \dots, s_n=0,1,\dots}$ is a n -multiple sequence of numbers $\{b_{s_1 \dots s_n}\}_{s_1, \dots, s_n=0,1,\dots}$ and

$$p(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}^c, \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}^c) (b_{s_1 \dots s_n}) = |b_{s_1 \dots s_n}|.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, n}^a = \{\{b_{s_1 \dots s_n}\}_{s_1, \dots, s_n=0,1,\dots} : \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n=0}^{\infty} |b_{s_1 \dots s_n}| a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_n} < \infty\}.$$

The basic fact is

LEMMA 1. *Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$. If a p -multiple sequence of functions $\{\varphi_{s_1 \dots s_p}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c)\}_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0,1,\dots}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a$, then the p -multiple series*

$$\sum_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{s_1 \dots s_p}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \theta_{s_1}^a(x_{i_1}) \dots \theta_{s_p}^a(x_{i_p})$$

converges in $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$.

We introduce two kinds of tensor product functions associated with $\{\theta_j^a\}$. If a function f has the following form

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n=0}^{\infty} b_{s_1 \dots s_n} \theta_{s_1}^a(x_1) \dots \theta_{s_n}^a(x_n)$$

where $\{b_{s_1 \dots s_n}\} \in \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, n}^a$, then f is called a tensor product function of the first kind associated with $\{\theta_j^a\}$. If a function f which has the

form

(2.3)

$$f(x) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^p \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p} \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \theta_{s_1}^a(x_{i_1}) \cdots \theta_{s_p}^a(x_{i_p})$$

satisfies the conditions

- (i) $\{\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}\}_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0,1,\dots} \in \mathcal{C}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a$,
- (ii) for $2 \leq p \leq n$, $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$ and $s_1, \dots, s_p \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_\ell; s_\ell}(\{x_{i_\ell}\}^c) x_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_\ell}^{s_\ell}} \cdots x_{i_p}^{s_p} dx_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{dx_{i_\ell}} \cdots dx_{i_p} \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell = 1, \dots, p$, then we call f a tensor product function of the second kind associated with $\{\theta_j^a\}$ where the symbol $\widehat{}$ indicates that the variable underneath is deleted. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n)$) the class of all tensor product functions of the first kind (resp. the second kind) associated with $\{\theta_j^a\}$. By Lemma 1, we see that $\mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n), \mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(R^n)$. A fundamental property of the tensor product functions is the following.

LEMMA 2. (i) *Let f be a tensor product function of the first kind with the form (2.2). Then*

$$\int_{R^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = b_{t_1 \dots t_n}$$

for $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$.

(ii) *Let f be a tensor product function of the second kind with the form (2.3). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) x_{k_1}^{t_1} \cdots x_{k_q}^{t_q} dx_{k_1} \cdots dx_{k_q} \\ &= \lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_q; t_1, \dots, t_q}(\{x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_q}\}^c) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq q \leq n$, $\{k_1, \dots, k_q\} \in M_q$ and $t_1, \dots, t_q \geq 0$.

§3. Complementary spaces of the Lizorkin spaces

For $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$, $s_1, \dots, s_p \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}(\varphi)(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) x_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots x_{i_p}^{s_p} dx_{i_1} \dots dx_{i_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a \\ &= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(R^n) : \{\mu_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}(\varphi)(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c)\}_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0,1,\dots} \\ & \in \mathcal{C}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^a(R^n) = \bigcap_{p=1}^n \bigcap_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p} \mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a(R^n).$$

If $\varphi \in \Phi_1(R^n)$, then $\mu_{1, \dots, n; s_1, \dots, s_n}(\varphi) = 0$ for $s_1, \dots, s_n \geq 0$. Hence $\Phi_1(R^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\varphi \in \Phi_2(R^n)$, then $\mu_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}(\varphi) = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq n$, $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p$ and $s_1, \dots, s_p \geq 0$. Hence $\Phi_2(R^n) \subset \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, By Lemma 2 (i), (ii) and the definitions of $\mathcal{T}_1^a, \mathcal{T}_2^a$ we see that $\mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n) \subset \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$. We introduce some operators. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$, we define

$$\mathcal{T}_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi(x) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{1, \dots, n; s_1, \dots, s_n}(\varphi) \theta_{s_1}^a(x_1) \dots \theta_{s_n}^a(x_n)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi = \varphi - \mathcal{T}_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi.$$

Further, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$ we define

$$\mathcal{T}_j^a \varphi(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu_{j; s}(\varphi)(\{x_j\}^c) \theta_s^a(x_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$U_j^a \varphi = \varphi - T_j^a \varphi.$$

Moreover

$$U^a \varphi = U_1^a \cdots U_n^a \varphi.$$

We see that

$$U^a \varphi = \varphi - \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p} T_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a \varphi$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a \varphi(x) \\ &= \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_p=0}^{\infty} \mu_{i_1, \dots, i_p; s_1, \dots, s_p}(\varphi) (\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\}^c) \theta_{s_1}^a(x_{i_1}) \cdots \theta_{s_p}^a(x_{i_p}). \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$T^a = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \in M_p} T_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^a.$$

We establish properties of these operators which are necessary for decompositions of $\mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$. About ranges of these operators we have

LEMMA 3. (i) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$, then $T_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi, U_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$.
(ii) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$, then $T^a \varphi, U^a \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$.

LEMMA 4. (i) $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$, then $U_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi \in \Phi_1(R^n)$.
(ii) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$, then $U^a \varphi \in \Phi_2(R^n)$.

LEMMA 5. (i) $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{1, \dots, n}^a(R^n)$, then $T_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi \in \mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n)$.
(ii) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$, then $T^a \varphi \in \mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n)$.

These operators become the identity operators on each proper subspace. In fact we have

LEMMA 6. (i) $\varphi \in \Phi_1(R^n)$, then $U_{1, \dots, n}^a \varphi = \varphi$.

(ii) If $\varphi \in \Phi_2(R^n)$, then $U^a\varphi = \varphi$

LEMMA 7. $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n)$, then $T_{1,\dots,n}^a\varphi = \varphi$.

(ii) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n)$, then $T^a\varphi = \varphi$.

Now we give decompositions of $\mathcal{S}_{1,\dots,n}^a(R^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$.

THEOREM 8. (i) $\mathcal{S}_{1,\dots,n}^a(R^n) = \Phi_1(R^n) \oplus \mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{S}^a(R^n) = \Phi_2(R^n) \oplus \mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n)$.

In order to give a decomposition of $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$, we need a relation between $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$ (or $\mathcal{S}_{1,\dots,n}^a(R^n)$). We have

LEMMA 9. $\mathcal{S}(R^n) = \cup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{S}^a(R^n)$, $\mathcal{S}(R^n) = \cup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{S}_{1,\dots,n}^a(R^n)$.

Taking Lemma 9 into account we put

$$\mathcal{T}_1(R^n) = \cup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{T}_1^a(R^n), \quad \mathcal{T}_2(R^n) = \cup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{T}_2^a(R^n).$$

Then we have

THEOREM 10. (i) $\mathcal{S}(R^n) = \Phi_1(R^n) \oplus \mathcal{T}_1(R^n)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{S}(R^n) = \Phi_2(R^n) \oplus \mathcal{T}_2(R^n)$.

References

- [He] S.Helgason, The Radon Transform, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1980.
- [Ku] T.Kurokawa, On the closure of the Lizorkin space in spaces of Beppo Levi type, Studia Math. **150**(2)(2002), 99-120.
- [Li1] P.I.Lizorkin, Generalized Liouville differentiation and the functional spaces $L_p^r(E_n)$. Imbedding theorems, Math. Sb.**60**(1963), no 3, 325-353.

- [Li2] P.I.Lizorkin, Generalized Liouville differentiation and the multiplier method in the theory of imbedding of classes of differentiable functions, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **105**(1969), 105-202.
- [Li3] P.I.Lizorkin, Operators connected with fractional differentiation and classes of differentiable functions, ibid. **117**(1972), 251-286.
- [Sa1] S.G.Samko, Denseness of the Lizorkin-type spaces Φ_V in $L_p(R^n)$, Math. Notes **31**(1982), no 6, 432-437.
- [Sa2] S.G.Samko, Hypersingular Integrals and Their Applications, Taylor and Francis, London-New York, 2002.
- [Se] V.I.Semyanistyi, On some integral transformations in Euclidean space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **14**(1960), no 3, 536-539.
- [SKM] S.G.Samko, A.A.Kilbas and O.I.Marichev, Fractal Integrals and Derivatives, Gordon and Breach Science Publ., London-New York, 1993.